

N71-31169

NASA TECHNICAL TRANSLATION

NASA TT F-13,803

PERIODIC AND ALMOST-PERIODIC SOLUTIONS  
OF DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

P. Talpalaru

Translation of "Solutions Périodiques et Presque-  
Périodiques des Systèmes Différentiels".

In: Analele Științifice ale Universității  
"Al.I. Cuza" din Iași  
Section 1 (Mathematics), Vol. 15, 1969, Fasc. 2.

PP 375-385

CASE FILE  
COPY

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20546

AUGUST 1970

PERIODIC AND ALMOST-PERIODIC SOLUTIONS  
OF DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

/375

P. Talpalaru

This article discusses some problems concerning the existence of periodic and almost-periodic solutions of certain differential systems.

In this article, we shall consider some problems concerning the existence of periodic and almost-periodic solutions of certain differential systems. We shall use the fixed-point method (in the form of Banach's theorem) and the Lyapunov-function method.

1. Let  $A(t)$  denote an  $n \times n$  matrix satisfying the following two conditions:

- 1°  $A(t)$  is continuous and  $\omega$ -periodic;
- 2° the system

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $x \in R^n$ , has no  $\omega$ -periodic solutions except  $x(t) \equiv 0$ .

Let us denote by  $X(t)$  the fundamental matrix of the system (1.1) such that  $X(0) = E$ . If  $x = x(t)$  is a solution of the system (1.1), then  $x = x(t + \omega)$  is also a solution.

We know that

$$X(t + \omega) = X(t) \cdot X(\omega).$$

On the other hand, the matrix  $B = X(\omega) - E$  is such that  $B = \det (X(\omega) - E) \neq 0$ .

---

\*Numbers in the margin indicate pagination in the foreign text.

To see this, let us suppose that  $\det B = 0$ . Then, there exists a vector  $h \neq 0$  such that

$$Bh = (X(\omega) - E)h = 0.$$

The function  $x(t) = X(t)h$  is a solution of the system (1.1), and it is obvious that  $x(t) \equiv 0$ . But

$$x(t + \omega) = X(t + \omega)h = X(t)X(\omega)h = X(t)h = x(t)$$

/376

which constitutes a contradiction.

Let us also note that

$$B^{-1}X(\omega) = X(\omega)B^{-1}, \quad X(\omega)B = BX(\omega),$$

which follows from the equation

$$\begin{aligned} B^{-1}X(\omega) &= (X^{-1}(\omega) - E)X(\omega) = E - X(\omega) = X(\omega)(X^{-1}(\omega) - E) = \\ &= X(\omega)B^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and the equation

$$\begin{aligned} X(\omega)B &= X(\omega)(X(\omega) - E) = X(\omega)(E - X^{-1}(\omega))X(\omega) = \\ &= (X(\omega) - E)X(\omega) = BX(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$X(t + \omega) = X(t)X(\omega)$$

it follows that

$$X^{-1}(t + \omega) = X^{-1}(\omega)X^{-1}(t).$$

By virtue of conditions 1° and 2°, the system

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + f(t), \tag{1.2}$$

where  $f(t)$  is an  $\omega$ -periodic function, has exactly one  $\omega$ -periodic solution. More precisely, one can prove the

Lemma. The function

$$u(t) = -X(t)B^{-1}X(\omega) \int_t^{t+\omega} X^{-1}(s)f(s)ds \tag{1.3}$$

is an  $\omega$ -periodic solution of the system (1.2).

This lemma can be proven by showing that  $u(t + \omega) = u(t)$  and that

$$\frac{du}{dt} = A(t)u + f(t),$$

The proof can be found, for example, in [3] and [4].

In what follows, we shall consider the system

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + \lambda g(t; x), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a real parameter and  $g(t; \cdot)$  is an operator defined on  $C(-\infty, \infty)$ , for  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$  (that is, on the space of continuous bounded functions defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , that have values in  $R^n$ ). To emphasize that the image, under the operator  $g$ , of a function  $x(t) \in C(-\infty, \infty)$  is also a function of  $t$ , we shall also write  $g(t; x) = (Gx)(t)$ .

/377

Let  $P(\omega)$  denote the Banach space of  $\omega$ -periodic functions defined on the real axis with norm  $\|x\|_P = \sup_{t \in (-\omega, \omega)} \|x(t)\|$ .

**Definition 1.1.** The operator  $g(t; x)$  defined for  $(t, x) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times P(\omega)$  is said to be  $\omega$ -periodic if  $g(t; \varphi) = (G\varphi)(t) \in P(\omega)$ , for  $\varphi(t) \in P(\omega)$ .

With the aid of the lemma and the definition, we can easily prove the following theorem, which gives the form of the  $\omega$ -periodic solution of the system (1.4).

**Theorem 1.1.** If the matrix  $A(t)$  satisfies conditions 1° and 2° and the operator  $g(t; x)$  is  $\omega$ -periodic, then any  $\omega$ -periodic solution of the system (1.4) is an  $\omega$ -periodic solution of the system of integral equations

$$y(t) = -\lambda X(t)B^{-1}X(\omega) \int_0^{\omega} X^{-1}(s)g(s; y)ds, \quad (1.5)$$

and vice versa.

**Proof:** Let  $x = u(t)$  denote an  $\omega$ -periodic solution of the system (1.4). Define

$$h(t) = \lambda g(t; u) = \lambda (Gu)(t).$$

Then,  $x = u(t)$  is an  $\omega$ -periodic solution of the system

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + h(t). \quad (1.6)$$

Since (1.6) has a unique  $\omega$ -periodic solution, it follows on the basis of the lemma that

$$u(t) = -X(t)B^{-1}X(\omega) \int_t^{t+\omega} X^{-1}(s)h(s)ds,$$

Consequently,  $u(t)$  is an  $\omega$ -periodic solution of the system (1.5).

Now suppose that  $x = v(t)$  is an  $\omega$ -periodic solution of the system (1.5). Then, the function

$$l(t) = \lambda g(t; v) = \lambda(Gv)(t)$$

is  $\omega$ -periodic. According to the lemma, the function

$$v(t) = -X(t)B^{-1}X(\omega) \int_t^{t+\omega} X^{-1}(s)l(s)ds$$

is the  $\omega$ -periodic solution of the system

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + l(t),$$

/378

that is,  $x = v(t)$  is the solution of the system (1.4), which proves Theorem 1.1.

Consider again the system of integral equations (1.5). Let us now write

$$(Ty)(t) = -\lambda X(t)B^{-1}X(\omega) \int_t^{t+\omega} X^{-1}(s)g(s; y)ds.$$

We note that, if conditions 1° and 2° are satisfied and the operator  $g(t; y)$  is  $\omega$ -periodic, then the operator  $T$  is such that  $T(P(\omega)) \subset P(\omega)$ .

In what follows, we shall assume that the operator  $T$  is defined on the space  $P(\omega)$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** If the matrix  $A(t)$  satisfies conditions 1° and 2° and the operator  $g(t; x)$  is  $\omega$ -periodic and satisfies the condition

$$\|g(t; x) - g(t; y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|_P,$$

for every pair  $x, y \in P(\omega)$ , then there exists a unique  $\omega$ -periodic solution of the system (1.4) for  $\lambda$  sufficiently small.

**Proof.** We have already pointed out that  $T(P(\omega)) \subset P(\omega)$ . Since the space  $P(\omega)$  is a Banach space, it remains to show that the operator  $T$  is a contraction operator, which will enable us to apply Banach's fixed-point theorem, taking  $P(\omega)$  as the fundamental space with the metric

$$\rho(u, v) = \sup_{t \in (-\infty, \infty)} \|u(t) - v(t)\| = \|u - v\|_P.$$

Let  $\Delta$  denote the domain  $0 \leq t \leq \omega$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 2\omega$  and define

$$\sup \|X(t + \omega)B^{-1}X^{-1}(s)\| = m.$$

for  $t, s \in \Delta$

If the functions  $u(t)$  and  $v(t)$  belong to the space  $P(\omega)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Tu)(t) - (Tv)(t)\| &\leq |\lambda| \int_t^{t+\omega} \|X(t)B^{-1}X^{-1}(s)\| \|g(s; u) - \\ &- g(s; v)\| ds \leq |\lambda|m \int_0^\omega L \|u - v\|_P ds = |\lambda|m \int_0^\omega L \rho(u, v) ds = |\lambda| m \omega \rho(u, v), \end{aligned}$$

and, consequently,

$$\rho((Tu)(t), (Tv)(t)) \leq |\lambda| L m \omega \rho(u, v).$$

If we take

$$|\lambda| < \frac{1}{L m \omega},$$

/379

It follows that  $T$  is a contraction operator and Theorem 1.2 is proven.

**Remarks: 1.** In the particular case in which

$$g(t; x) = \int_0^{\alpha(t)} k(t, s, x(s)) ds$$

where  $\alpha(t)$  is an  $\omega$ -periodic function and the vector-values function  $k(t, s, x)$  is  $\omega$ -periodic with respect to  $t$  and continuous in the domain

$$\Delta_1 = \{t \in (-\infty, \infty), x \in R^n, |s| < r\},$$

the number  $r$  being a bound on  $|\alpha(t)|$ , similar results have been established by I. V. Bykov and M. Imanaliev [3].

**2.** Another interesting special case is that in which  $g(t; x) = g(t; x_t)$ , where  $\alpha \leq s \leq t$ , and  $x_t = x(s)$ ; that is,  $g(t; x)$  is a Volterra operator.

**2.** In this section, we shall consider the problem of the existence of almost-periodic solutions for a certain system of differential equations by using a particular Lyapunov function and the fixed-point method.

Let us consider first the system of differential equations

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x) + h(t), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $f(t, x) \in C((-\infty, \infty) \times R^n)$ , with  $f(t_0, x) \in C^1(R^n)$  for every point  $t_0$  in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , and  $h(t) \in C(-\infty, \infty)$ . Suppose that both functions assume values in  $R^n$ . Let  $\Lambda(t, x)$  denote the greatest eigenvalue of the matrix

$$J_s(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} [Af'_s(t, x) + (Af'_s(t, x))^*],$$

where  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  is a constant symmetric positive-definite matrix.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a)  $\Lambda(t, x) \leq -\alpha < 0$  for  $(t, x) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times R^n$ ;
- (b)  $\|f(t, 0)\| \leq \beta$  and  $\|h(t)\| \leq \gamma$ .

Then the system (2.1) has a unique bounded solution  $x = x(t)$ , ( $\|x(t)\| \leq R$ ). If in addition the functions  $f(t, x)$  and  $h(t)$  are almost-periodic with respect to  $t$  uniformly with respect to  $x$  for  $\|x\| \leq R$ , then the bounded solution  $x = x(t)$  is also almost-periodic.

**Proof:** We note first of all that the proof of the theorem is similar to that of Theorem 2, due to Demidovich [2] with a necessary modification caused by the term  $h(t)$ . Define  $V(x) = (Ax, x)$ . We have

$$\alpha' \|x\|^2 \leq (Ax, x) \leq \alpha'' \|x\|^2,$$

/380

where  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha''$  are positive constants.

If  $x = x(t)$  is a solution of the system (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(x(t)) &= 2(A\dot{x}(t), x(t)) = 2(Af(t, x), x) + 2(Ah(t), x) = \\ &= 2(A[f(t, x) - f(t, 0)], x) + 2(Af(t, 0), x) + 2(Ah(t), x). \end{aligned}$$

From Demidovich's lemma [2] and condition (a), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (A[f(t, x) - f(t, 0)], x) &\leq \Lambda(t, x) \|x\|^2 \leq -\alpha \|x(t)\|^2 \leq -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha''} V(x(t)) \\ &= -kV(x(t)). \end{aligned}$$

From condition (b), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(x(t)) &\leq -2kV(x(t)) + 2\|A\|(\beta + \gamma)\|x\| \leq -2kV(x(t)) + \\ &+ 2\|A\| \frac{(\beta + \gamma)}{\alpha'} V^{1/2}(x(t)) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2} \dot{V}(x(t)) \leq -kV(x(t)) + rV^{1/2}(x(t)), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$r = \|A\| \frac{(\beta + \gamma)}{\alpha'}.$$

Using the result on differential inequalities (for example [1], p. 106), we find

$$V(x(t)) \leq \left[ \sqrt{V(x(t_0))} e^{-k(t-t_0)} + \frac{r}{k} \right]^2,$$

which implies the existence of bounded solutions.

To prove the uniqueness of the bounded solution  $x = x(t)$ , let us consider the function  $V = (A(x - \bar{x}), x - \bar{x})$ , where  $x = \bar{x}(t)$  is another bounded solution of the system (2.1). Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \dot{V}(t) = (A[f(t, x(t)) - f(t, \bar{x}(t)), x(t) - \bar{x}(t)] \leq -\|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)\|^2,$$

so that

$$\dot{V}(t) \leq -2 \frac{\alpha}{\alpha''} V(t),$$

that is,

$$\|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha''}} \|x(t_0) - \bar{x}(t_0)\| e^{-\alpha/\alpha''(t-t_0)}, \quad t \geq t_0. \quad (2.3) \quad \underline{381}$$

By letting  $t_0$  approach  $-\infty$ , we see that  $x(t) = \bar{x}(t)$  for all  $t$  in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , so that the bounded solution is unique.

Let us now prove the second part of the theorem. We note first that since  $f(t, x)$  and  $h(t)$  are almost-periodic, condition (b) is satisfied. Suppose that  $\|x(t)\| \leq R$ . Since  $f(t, x)$  is a uniformly almost-periodic function of  $t$  for  $\|x\| \leq R$ , it follows that, for all  $\eta > 0$ , there exists a  $\sigma(\eta) > 0$  such that every real interval of length  $\sigma$  includes at least one number  $\tau$  such that

$$\|f(t + \tau, x) - f(t, x)\| < \eta^2, \quad -\infty < t < \infty, \quad \|x\| \leq R$$

and for such  $\tau$

$$\|h(t + \tau) - h(t)\| \leq \eta^2.$$

Let us set

$$V(t) = (A[x(t + \tau) - x(t)], x(t + \tau) - x(t)).$$



Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \dot{V}(t) &= (A[f(t+\tau, x(t+\tau)) + h(t+\tau) - \\ &\quad - f(t, x(t)) - h(t)], x(t+\tau) - x(t)) = \\ &= (A[f(t+\tau, x(t+\tau)) - f(t+\tau, x(t))], x(t+\tau) - x(t)) + \\ &\quad + (A[f(t+\tau, x(t)) - f(t, x(t))], x(t+\tau) - x(t)) + \\ &\quad + (A[h(t+\tau) - h(t)], x(t+\tau) - x(t)) \leq -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha''} V(t) + 4\|A\|R\gamma^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$V(t) \leq V(t_0) e^{-2\alpha/\alpha''(t-t_0)} + \frac{4\|A\|R\alpha''}{\alpha} \gamma^2 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0. \quad (2.4)$$

By letting  $t_0$  approach  $-\infty$ , we obtain

$$V(t) \leq \frac{4\|A\|}{\alpha} R\alpha'' \gamma^2,$$

that is,

$$\|x(t+\tau) - x(t)\| < \lambda\gamma, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\lambda = 2\sqrt{\|A\|R\alpha''/\alpha\alpha'}$ , which proves that the solution  $x = x(t)$  is almost-periodic.

Remark: A similar result can be proven in the case in which  $f(t, x)$  is a periodic function of  $t$  uniformly with respect to  $x$  for  $\|x\| \leq R$  and  $h(t)$  is periodic. /382

In what follows, we shall establish the existence of almost-periodic solutions for a perturbed system of the form

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x) + g(t; x) \quad (2.6)$$

where  $f(t, x)$  is the function defined by Theorem 2.1 and  $g(t; x)$  is the operator defined in section 1. Let us first give the

**Definition 2.1.** The operator  $g(t; x)$  defined for  $(t, x) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times AP$ , where  $AP$  is the Banach space of almost-periodic defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  is said to be almost-periodic if  $\varphi(t) \in AP$  for every function  $g(t; \varphi) = (G\varphi)(t) \in AP$ .

By using Theorem 2.1 and Banach's fixed-point theorem, we can prove

**Theorem 2.2.** Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and that the operator  $g(t; x)$  satisfies the condition

$$\|g(t; \varphi) - g(t; \psi)\| \leq L\|\varphi - \psi\|_{AP}, \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $(t, \varphi, \psi) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times AP \times AP$ .

Then, if  $\|A\|L/\alpha < 1$ , the system (2.6) has a unique almost-periodic solution.

**Proof:** For every  $\varphi \in AP$ , let  $T\varphi$  denote the unique almost-periodic solution of the system

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x) + g(t; \varphi), \quad (2.8)$$

or, in different notation,

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x) + (G\varphi)(t); \quad (2.8')$$

(The existence of this solution is asserted by Theorem 2.1). The operator  $T$  then has the property that  $T(AP) \subset AP$ .

Let us show that  $T$  is a contraction operator. Let  $\varphi, \psi \in AP$  and  $x = T\varphi$ ,  $y = T\psi$  denote the solutions of the system (2.6) that correspond to  $\varphi(t)$  and  $\psi(t)$  respectively.

Consider the function  $V(t) = (A[x(t) - y(t)], x(t) - y(t))$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \dot{V}(t) &= (A[\dot{x}(t) - \dot{y}(t)], x(t) - y(t)) = (A[f(t, x(t)) - f(t, y(t)) + g(t; \varphi) - \\ &\quad - g(t; \psi)], x(t) - y(t)) = (A[f(t, x(t)) - f(t, y(t))], x(t) - y(t)) + \\ &\quad + (A[g(t; \varphi) - g(t; \psi)], x(t) - y(t)). \end{aligned}$$

According to Demidovich's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} (A[f(t, x(t)) - f(t, y(t))], x(t) - y(t)) &\leq \Lambda(t, x)\|x(t) - y(t)\|^2 \leq \\ &\leq -\alpha\|x(t) - y(t)\|^2 \leq -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha''} V(t). \end{aligned}$$

/383

Similarly, according to Cauchy's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} (A[g(t; \varphi) - g(t; \psi)], x(t) - y(t)) &\leq \|A\| \|g(t; \varphi) - g(t; \psi)\| \|x(t) - y(t)\| \leq \\ &\leq \|A\| L \|\varphi - \psi\|_{AP} \|x(t) - y(t)\| \leq \frac{\|A\| L}{\sqrt{\alpha''}} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{AP} V^{1/2}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{2} \dot{V}(t) \leq -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha''} V(t) + \frac{\|A\|L}{\sqrt{\alpha'}} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{AP} V^{1/2}(t), \quad (2.9)$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2} \dot{V}(t) \leq -aV(t) + b\|\varphi - \psi\|_{AP} V^{1/2}(t), \quad (2.9')$$

where

$$a = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha''} > 0, \quad b = \frac{\|A\|L}{\sqrt{\alpha'}} > 0.$$

Using the result on differential inequalities that was used in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we get

$$V(t) \leq \sqrt{V(t_0)} e^{-a(t-t_0)} + \left[ \frac{b\|\varphi - \psi\|_{AP}}{a} \right]^2$$

[Translator's note: There seems to be a mistake in this equation.]  
so that

$$V^{1/2}(t) \leq \sqrt{V(t_0)} e^{-a(t-t_0)} + \frac{b\|\varphi - \psi\|_{AP}}{a}, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$V(t_0) = V(x(t_0), y(t_0)).$$

From inequality (2.10), we obtain

$$\|x(t) - y(t)\| \leq \sqrt{V(t_0)} e^{-a(t-t_0)} + \frac{b}{a\sqrt{\alpha'}} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{AP}, \quad t \geq t_0. \quad (2.11)$$

We shall now show that inequality (2.11) implies

$$\|x(t) - y(t)\| \leq \frac{b}{a\sqrt{\alpha'}} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{AP}, \quad t \geq t_0. \quad (2.12)$$

Let us suppose that (2.12) is untrue. Then there exist  $\varepsilon < 0$  and  $\bar{t} \in (-\infty, \infty)$  such that /384

$$\|x(\bar{t}) - y(\bar{t})\| \leq \frac{b}{a\sqrt{\alpha'}} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{AP} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \bar{t} \geq t_0 + N(\varepsilon).$$

Since  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$  are almost-periodic, there exists a  $\tau \geq t_0 - t + N(\varepsilon)$  that is an  $(\varepsilon/3)$ -almost-periodic function of  $x(t) - y(t)$  and hence

$$\frac{b}{a\sqrt{\alpha'}} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{AP} + \varepsilon = \|x(\bar{t}) - y(\bar{t})\| \leq \| [x(\bar{t}) - y(\bar{t})] - [x(\bar{t} + \tau) - y(\bar{t} + \tau)] \| + \|x(\bar{t} + \tau) - y(\bar{t} + \tau)\| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{b}{a\sqrt{\alpha'}} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{AP},$$

which implies  $\varepsilon < 2\varepsilon/3$ . Since this is impossible, inequality (2.12) is proven.

At the same time, inequality (2.12) implies

$$\|T\varphi - T\psi\|_{AP} \leq \frac{b}{a\sqrt{\alpha'}} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{AP}, \quad (2.13)$$

that is

$$\rho(T\varphi, T\psi) \leq m\rho(\varphi, \psi),$$

where

$$m = \frac{b}{a\sqrt{\alpha'}} = \frac{\|A\| L \alpha''}{\alpha(\sqrt{\alpha'})^2} \leq \frac{\|A\| L}{\alpha} < 1,$$

which proves Theorem 2.2.

Remarks: 1. A similar result can be obtained in the case of periodicity.

2. An interesting particular case is that of the integro-differential equations

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x) + g(t; x)$$

where

$$g(t; x) = \int_{-\infty}^t k(t-s)x(s)ds,$$

this operator satisfying Definition 2.2.

## REFERENCES

1. Birkhoff, G. and G.C. Rota. Ordinary Differential Equations. Ginn and Company, New York, 1963.
2. Demidovich, B. P. On Certain Systems with D-properties of Levinson, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Nonlinear Oscillations, Vol. II, Kiev, 1961, pp. 156-160.

3. Bykov, Ya. V. and Imailiyev, M. On Periodic Solutions of Integro-Differential Equations, Issledovaniya po integro-differentsial'nym uravneniyam v Kirgizii (Investigations on Integro-Differential Equations in Kirgizia), No. 1, Frunze, 1961, pp. 145-166.
4. Villari, G. Contributi allo studio dell'esistenza di soluzioni periodiche per i sistemi di equazioni differenziali ordinarie. Ann. di Mat. pura ed appl. (IV), Vol. LXIX, 1965, pp. 171-190.

Translated for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration by  
Scripta Technica, Inc. NASw-2036.